Practical Law

GLOBAL GUIDE 2018

STRUCTURED FINANCE AND SECURITISATION



Structured finance and securitisation in Turkey: overview

Ömer Collak and Ökkeş Şahan Paksoy

global.practicallaw.com/3-522-5494

MARKET AND LEGAL REGIME

- 1. Please give a brief overview of the securitisation market in your jurisdiction. In particular:
 - How developed is the market and what notable transactions and new structures have emerged recently?
 - What impact have central bank programmes (if any) had on the securitisation market in your jurisdiction?
 - Is securitisation particularly concentrated in certain industry sectors?

The securitisation market began developing in the second half of the 1990s in Turkey. Until the last few years, compared to many developed countries, securitisation was mostly undertaken by the banking sector, and the asset classes were limited to credit card receivables, cheque receivables, diversified payment right receivables and leasing receivables. However, in the last few years, due to changes in the tax regulations, transactions in the banking sector have decelerated. Therefore, Turkey offers much potential for the securitisation market.

As a result of the development of the Turkish sukuk market, ijara sukuk, for example, provided an excellent basis for securitising a pool of ijara financings originated by Turkish Islamic banks. There have been a couple of "onshore" securitisation structures in the past where Kuveyt Türk, Bank Asya and Turkiye Finans issued lease certificates (sukuk ijara) which attracted a great amount of attention, but so far these remain the only transactions of their kind. Turkish Islamic banks, such as AlBaraka, Turkiye Finans and Kuveyt Turk, have also issued and offered sukuk to investors outside Turkey this year, which initially started back in 2012 with Kuveyt Turk tapping international markets with the very first sukuk issuance through a Turkish SPV.

- Is there a specific legislative regime within which securitisations in your jurisdiction are carried out? In particular:
 - What are the main laws governing securitisations?
 - What is the name of the regulatory authority charged with overseeing securitisation practices and participants in your jurisdiction?

Main laws

The main laws governing securitisations are the:

 Communiqué on Asset-Backed and Mortgage-Backed Securities, Serial: III, No. 58.1, published in the Official Gazette dated 9 January 2014.

- Communiqué on Principles of Mortgage Finance Institutions, Serial: III, No. 60.1, published in the Official Gazette dated 17 July 2014.
- Communiqué on Lease Certificates, Serial: III, No. 61.1, published in the Official Gazette dated 7 June 2013.

Regulatory authority

The Capital Markets Board (CMB) is the regulatory and supervisory authority in charge of the capital markets in Turkey (www.cmb.gov.tr). The CMB aims to ensure the safe, fair and orderly functioning of the capital markets and to protect the rights and benefits of investors.

REASONS FOR DOING A SECURITISATION

- What are the main reasons for doing a securitisation in your jurisdiction? How are the reasons for doing a securitisation in your jurisdiction affected by:
 - Accounting practices in your jurisdiction, such as application of the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)?
 - National or supra-national rules concerning capital adequacy?
 - Risk retention requirements?
 - Implementation of the Basel III framework in your jurisdiction?

Usual reasons for securitisation

The main reasons for doing a securitisation transaction are:

- · Transfer of risk.
- Having an off-balance sheet alternative to raise funds (giving balance sheet benefits).
- Accessing the capital markets without necessarily being listed.
- Providing investors with an alternative exposure to credit risk.
- Cheaper source of funding (for lower rated originators).
- Adjusting operations to reflect regulatory capital requirements (applicable to financial institutions).

Accounting practices

The Turkish Accounting Standards Board published its financial reporting standards in 2005 and are mostly identical to the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Turkish companies listed on Borsa Istanbul must prepare their financials according to these standards. The new Commercial Code, which entered into force on 1 July 2012, has also taken a similar approach.



Capital adequacy

The new Commercial Code provides capital thresholds that companies must sustain to avoid technical bankruptcy, although under the Commercial Code a company has a period of time to remedy any capital inadequacy.

There is no authority which regulates and supervises capital adequacy of companies in Turkey. However, the Capital Markets Board (CMB) issues capital adequacy regulations for capital market entities such as brokerage houses and asset management companies, and is responsible for the implementation of these rules

To implement the rules of Basel III into Turkish law, the Banking Regulation and Supervision Agency (BRSA) (the regulatory and supervisory authority in the banking sector) has been regulating the capital adequacy rules and principles for the banks in accordance with Basel III regulations and monitors their implementation, which have been in effect as of 2013.

Risk retention requirements

The originator or founder must repurchase asset-backed securities/mortgage-backed securities corresponding to 5% of the nominal value of the asset-backed securities/mortgage-backed securities issued, and retain this value until maturity. If the asset-backed securities/mortgage-backed securities are issued in classes, this requirement applies either:

- When the asset-backed securities/mortgage-backed securities classes do not have a credit rating or have the same credit rating, on a pari passu or pro rata basis for each class.
- To the class or classes having the lowest credit rating, if there are different asset-backed securities/mortgage-backed securities classes with different credit ratings.
- The CMB is authorised to vary the above ratio depending on the type of assets or on the originators or founders, but the ratio cannot exceed 10%.

THE SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLE (SPV) Establishing the SPV

- How is an SPV established in your jurisdiction? Please explain:
 - What form does the SPV usually take and how is it set up?
 - What is the legal status of the SPV?
 - How the SPV is usually owned?
 - Are there any particular regulatory requirements that apply to the SPVs?

A securitisation governed by the Capital Markets Law and implementing communiqués on asset-based securities requires incorporation of an asset/housing financed fund, which is subject to strict requirements of the Capital Markets Board (CMB).

Asset-financed funds are defined as a separate asset pool formed by the proceeds of the asset-based securities sold. They do not have a legal personality and must be managed according to fiduciary ownership principles. Therefore, there is no legally recognised shareholding right in a fund, but an ownership right over the issued asset-backed securities.

Asset-based funds operate under the rules stated in the internal bye-laws of the fund, which includes:

- · General terms about management of the fund.
- Custody of the assets.
- Valuation principles.

Conditions of investing in the fund.

Funds can only be established in the specific forms defined by the CMB communiqués, by certain entities listed in the regulations (for example, banks, financial leasing and finance companies and brokerage houses).

The fund must have a board with at least three members, internal controllers, and must enter into agreements with a service provider and custodian entity for the fund's assets. There are restrictions on the quality and qualifications of assets that can be included in the fund's portfolio. For ordinary asset-based securities, for example, only the following assets can be included in the fund's portfolio:

- Receivables of banks and financing corporations arising from consumer loans and commercial loans.
- Receivables arising from financial leasing agreements entered into pursuant to the Law on Financial Leasing, Factoring and Financing Companies.
- Receivables arising from sale of real estate property by the Housing Development Administration of Turkey, based on instalments and contracts.
- Commercial receivables attached to deeds or collateral, arising from invoiced sales by joint stock companies engaged in production of goods and provision of services to their customers, excluding financial institutions.
- Short-term deposits with a maturity of less than three months, participation accounts, reverse repo transactions, money market funds, funds for short-term debt securities and Settlement and Custody (*Takasbank*) Bank Money Market transactions, destined to invest the cash originating from the assets available in the fund portfolio.
- Assets in the reserve accounts.
- Assets, other than capital market instruments, as may be approved by the CMB.

Asset-backed securities sold through a public offering or private placement must also be registered with the CMB. While it is mandatory to prepare disclosure documents (such as an offering circular) for public offerings, it is mandatory to prepare an issue document for private placements or sale to qualified investors.

The security holders are beneficial owners of the asset portfolio managed by the service provider. Since there is no trust concept under Turkish law, the relationship between the fund and its investors can be defined as a sort of agency relationship (fiduciary ownership).

5. Is the SPV usually established in your jurisdiction or offshore? If established offshore, in what jurisdiction(s) are SPVs usually established and why? Are there any particular circumstances when it is advantageous to establish the SPV in your jurisdiction?

A Capital Markets Board-governed issue requires incorporation of an onshore fund, which is subject to strict restrictions. For tax reasons, most recent securitisations have been done through offshore SPVs incorporated in the Cayman Islands or similar jurisdictions, and are not subject to Turkish legislation.

Ensuring the SPV is insolvency remote

- 6. What steps can be taken to make the SPV as insolvency remote as possible in your jurisdiction? In particular:
 - Has the ability to achieve insolvency remoteness been eroded to any extent in recent years?

 Will the courts in your jurisdiction give effect to limited recourse and non-petition clauses?

Funds (the form of SPV that must be used in a Turkish securitisation, see *Question 4*) are insolvency remote vehicles. Due to restrictions on the quality and quantity of fund assets (see *Question 4*), the operations of the fund and the expenditures to be made, it is unlikely that a fund would become insolvent. To the best of the authors' knowledge, such insolvency remoteness has not been eroded in recent years.

In addition, it is possible for the originator to guarantee to pay the part of obligations that cannot be paid by the fund itself.

The Turkish courts give effect to the structures that are agreed between the parties unless they are against public policy rules.

Ensuring the SPV is treated separately from the originator

7. Is there a risk that the courts can treat the assets of the SPV as those of the originator if the originator becomes subject to insolvency proceedings (substantive consolidation)? If so, can this be avoided or minimised?

There is no risk that the courts will treat the assets of the SPV as those of the originator. Legal ownership of assets must pass to the fund and those assets cannot be subject to insolvency proceedings of the originator. A guarantee can be used as a tool to ensure payment of obligations that cannot be paid by the fund itself (see Question 6). However, if the originator cannot fulfil its obligation for the guaranteed part of the payments, the investors can attend the liquidation process of the originator for this part pari passu along with the other creditors.

THE SECURITIES Issuing the securities

8. What factors will determine whether to issue the SPV's securities publicly or privately?

From the limited number of securitisations that have taken place, securities are usually issued privately to qualified investors.

- 9. If the securities are publicly issued:
 - Are the securities usually listed on a regulated exchange in your jurisdiction or in another jurisdiction?
 - If in your jurisdiction, please identify the main documents required to make an application to list debt securities on the main regulated exchange in your jurisdiction. Are there any share capital requirements?
 - If a particular exchange (domestic or foreign) is usually chosen for listing the securities, please briefly summarise the main reasons for this.

Publicly issued securities are generally listed on foreign stock exchanges, such as in Luxembourg, London or Ireland.

If the securities are publicly issued in Turkey (asset-based securities and mortgage=based securities), they must also be listed on Borsa İstanbul. For the listing requirements, see www.listingistanbul.com.

Constituting the securities

10. If the trust concept is not recognised in your jurisdiction, what document constitutes the securities issued by the SPV and how are the rights in them held?

The SPV can only be in the form of a fund (see *Question 4*) and the asset-based security issued by the fund to each investor represents the investor's beneficial ownership in the fund assets.

TRANSFERRING THE RECEIVABLES Classes of receivables

11. What classes of receivables are usually securitised in your jurisdiction? Are there any new asset classes to have emerged recently or that are expected to emerge in the foreseeable future?

Types of assets and receivables that can be subject to securitisation include:

- Consumer loans.
- Commercial loans.
- Receivables arising from financial and operational leasing agreements.
- · Receivables arising from factoring transactions.
- · Receivables arising from export transactions.
- Receivables arising from loans which are provided to small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs).
- · Receivables arising from real estate sales contracts.
- Receivables arising from real estate sales by the Housing Development Administration (TOKI).
- Substitute assets.
- Other assets whose quality is to be determined by the Capital Markets Board.

During the last couple of years, most Turkish retail banks have securitised their diversified payment rights through offshore SPVs.

Transferring receivables from the originator to the SPV

12. How are receivables usually transferred from the originator to the SPV? Is perfection of the transfer subject to giving notice of sale to the obligor or subject to any other steps?

The originator, as the owner of the receivables, creates a pool of the receivables, which is then transferred to the fund through a written assignment agreement (so that it constitutes a true sale (see Question 16)). However, to perfect the transfer of mortgages and pledges on motor vehicles, the transfers must be registered at the land and motor vehicle registries.

Although notification to the debtors is not required to perfect the assignment, it is recommended that the agreement include a provision obliging the originator to notify its debtor(s) of the assignment and to request an acknowledgment of no prior ranking assignments or counterclaims from the debtor(s). The debtor cannot then raise a good faith claim for the payments directly to the originator after they have received the assignment notice. In other words, the debtor, following receipt of such notice, is legally barred from discharging its payment obligations to the originator. Serving a notification and requesting an acknowledgment of no prior ranking assignments or counterclaims from the debtor of the

relevant receivable should also assure the SPV that the receivables were not previously assigned or subject to counterclaims.

13. Are there any types of receivables that it is not possible or not practical to securitise in your jurisdiction (for example, future receivables)?

Generally, receivables are freely transferable under Turkish law, except for certain healthcare/social security-related receivables. Additionally, it is not possible to securitise future receivables that are not identifiable, and it is not recommended to securitise receivables which are not:

- Homogeneous.
- Collected in instalments.
- Providing a constant cash flow.
- 14. How is any security attached to the receivables transferred to the SPV? What are the perfection requirements?

In principle, securities attached to the receivables automatically pass to the SPV with the assignment agreement. However, perfection of mortgages and pledges on motor vehicles requires registration at the land and motor vehicle registries, respectively.

Prohibitions or restrictions on transfer

15. Are there any prohibitions or restrictions on transferring the receivables, for example, in relation to consumer data?

There is no prohibition on the transfer of receivables as long as all the requirements are met (for example, a written agreement and perfection requirements).

Contractual restrictions

Depending on the mutual agreement between the parties, certain contractual restrictions may exist, therefore due diligence on the underlying contract becomes important.

Legislative restrictions

Under the Data Protection Law No. 6698, the transfer of personal data requires, in principle, the prior approval of the data subject (that is, the owner of the personal data/debtor). Regardless of prior approvals for transfers of receivables to special purpose entities and compliance with the law, the Data Protection Law No. 6698 provides for a safe harbour and exemption from prior approval if transfer of the data is foreseen under a specific law. The Turkish Code of Obligations includes a provision that allows the transfer of personal data in the context of debt assignments, provided that is needed to assist in the collection of the debt from the obligors (that is, to enforce the assignment). Therefore, there is no need to obtain prior approval of the debtors in the assignment/transfer in a securitisation transaction if such data is needed to collect the debt from the obligors.

Avoiding the transfer being re-characterised

- 16. Is there a risk that a transfer of title to the receivables will be re-characterised as a secured loan? If so:
 - Can this risk be avoided or minimised?
 - Are true sale legal opinions typically delivered in your jurisdiction or does it depend on the asset type and/or provenance of the securitised asset?

There is no risk that a transfer of title to the receivables (that is, a true sale) will be re-characterised as a secured loan (see Question 12) as long as the parties' intention is the sale of the receivables without recourse to the seller. There is no legal requirement to deliver a true sale legal opinion, but the Capital Markets Board has the authority to request such an opinion during the application process.

Ensuring the transfer cannot be unwound if the originator becomes insolvent

17. Can the originator (or a liquidator or other insolvency officer of the originator) unwind the transaction at a later date? If yes, on what grounds can this be done and what is the timescale for doing so? Can this risk be avoided or minimised?

Transactions cannot be unwound at a later date. The Execution and Bankruptcy Law has specific provisions regulating the procedure for unwinding transactions.

The following transactions are voidable if made within one year before the declaration of the bankruptcy of an insolvent party:

- The creation by the insolvent party of an encumbrance over its assets to secure an existing debt where that person had not previously promised (or is under contractual obligation) to execute such an encumbrance.
- Any payments made by the insolvent party other than with money or ordinary payment methods.
- Prepayment of debts that are not yet due.
- Registrations made to the title deed registry to strengthen contractual rights.

The court will not declare such arrangements void if third parties that benefit from the security arrangements prove that they were not aware of the financial condition of the insolvent party.

Additionally, transactions that can be invalidated include those made for no consideration (including donations) or for a consideration that is significantly less than the actual value of the transaction. The clawback period for such action is two years (prior to the insolvency).

Disposals made intentionally to impair the interest of creditors can be challenged by the creditors within five years after the date of such disposals.

Establishing the applicable law

18. Are choice of law clauses in contracts usually recognised and enforced in your jurisdiction? If yes, is a particular law usually chosen to govern the transaction documents? Are there any circumstances when local law will override a choice of law?

In principle, the parties to a contract are free to determine the governing law of the contract. Generally, English law is chosen for cross-border security issuances. A choice of English law to govern the transaction documents is valid under Turkish law and the courts of Turkey will recognise and give effect to English law as the governing law of the transaction documents, except to the extent that to recognise and give effect to such choice of law would be clearly against Turkish public policy rules.

SECURITY AND RISK

Creating security

 Please briefly list the main types of security that can be taken over the various assets of the SPV in your jurisdiction, and the requirements to perfect such security.

Movable assets

Security taken over movable assets must be by means of a pledge. Pledges can take the following forms, each requiring different methods of perfection:

- Share pledge. To perfect the pledge:
 - if there is a physical share certificate, it must be delivered to the lender (in addition to the endorsement in the case of registered-form share certificates);
 - if there is no physical certificate, the pledge must be registered in the share ledger.
- Asset pledge. To perfect the pledge, the asset must be delivered to the lender.
- Commercial enterprise pledge. To perfect the pledge, it must be registered with the trade registry. The ranking among commercial enterprise pledge holders is determined according to the date of registration with the trade registry.
- Pledge over receivables. To perfect a pledge over receivables, a written contract is required to establish a pledge (and the debtor must be notified accordingly to avoid debtors discharging payment obligations to the originator).
- Pledge over motor vehicles. To perfect a pledge over a motor vehicle, a registration is made at the motor vehicle registry for the benefit of the lender.

For asset/housing-based finance funds, the fund is party to these perfection processes, for example by:

- Receiving the assets from the original lender.
- Being annotated in the motor vehicles registry.
- Executing a contract with the original lender for pledges on the receivables.
- Notifying the debtor accordingly.

Real estate

A mortgage over real estate can take the form of either:

- A fixed mortgage. Under a fixed mortgage, the total indebtedness secured by the real estate is registered with the Land Registry Office. There is no requirement for a mortgage to be executed in a certain form.
- A maximum amount mortgage. Under a maximum amount mortgage, the maximum amount of security provided by the relevant real estate is specified, but the precise amount of indebtedness is not revealed.

A piece of real estate can be mortgaged more than once. Mortgages can be registered in a ranking order such as first, second, and third. The first-registered mortgage has priority over later mortgages.

20. How is the security granted by the SPV held for the investors? If the trust concept is recognised, are there any particular requirements for setting up a trust (for example, the security trustee providing some form of consideration)? Are foreign trusts recognised in your jurisdiction?

Asset-based securities issued by funds are held directly by the investors. This relationship is governed by rules of representation (see Question 4).

The trust concept is not legally defined under Turkish law. However, it is widely accepted that trust provisions in agreements are enforceable under the general provisions of law. However, so far this has not been tested in the Turkish courts.

Credit enhancement

21. What methods of credit enhancement are commonly used in your jurisdiction? Are there any variations or specific issues that apply to the credit enhancement techniques set out in the Guide to a standard securitisation (Guide)?

A Turkish law governed issuance must fully comply with the Capital Markets Board's (CMB) strict requirements which increase the creditworthiness of the transaction (see Question 4). The regulations also define some credit enhancement mechanisms which originators can apply. These mechanisms include the following:

- The fund can enter into an insurance, guarantee, letter of guarantee or other similar types of security arrangements with the originator or another third party.
- The fund can diversify the securities with different rights and classes.
- The fund's assets in excess of its liabilities can be transferred to reserve accounts (known as creating retained spread).
- Any other mechanism approved by the CMB.

Risk management and liquidity support

22. What methods of liquidity support or cash reservation are commonly used in your jurisdiction? Are there any variations or specific issues that apply to the provision of liquidity support as set out in the Guide?

The only methods defined in the regulations are securing loans and creating reserve funds to manage periodic payments. These methods were used in the recent limited number of securitisations. Loans can be secured from anyone, including the originator, as long as the terms of the loan are determined on an arm's-length basis.

CASH FLOW IN THE STRUCTURE Distribution of funds

23. Please explain any variations to the cash flow index accompanying Diagram 9 of the Guide that apply in your jurisdiction. In particular, will the courts in your jurisdiction give effect to "flip clauses" (that is, clauses that allow for termination payments to swap counterparties who are in default under the swap agreement, to be paid further down the cash flow waterfall than would otherwise have been the case)?

There is no swap counterparty or liquid support provider in the structures defined in the Capital Market Board's (CMB) regulations although these elements can be used in practice.

The parties listed as taking part in a securitisation under the regulation are:

- Originator (obligor).
- Fund (with its board manager and internal controller).
- · Security holders.
- Service provider.
- Custodian.
- Credit enhancement provider.
- Banks, which are also natural participants due to their role as payment vehicles.

All the fund expenditures must be made on an arm's-length basis. The list of allowed expenditures is as follows (*CMB regulations*):

- · Compulsory registration and announcement fees.
- Fees to be paid to the fund employees and to the providers of legal, accounting, custody, settlement and other management services.
- Servicing fees to be paid to the service provider.
- Fees paid to audit firms.
- Fees paid to rating agencies.
- Fees, commissions and other payments paid to credit enhancement providers.
- · Underwriting and brokerage fees and commissions.
- Accruals to reserve accounts.
- Legal fees for the issuance.
- Tax charges of the fund (see Question 26).
- Expenses associated with cash management loans.
- Other expenses that are approved by the CMB.

There must be information in the offer documents presented to investors about what will happen to any remaining assets of the fund after all of the fund obligations are fulfilled.

To the best of the authors' knowledge, enforceability of "flip clauses" under the swap agreements have not been tested before the Turkish courts.

Profit extraction

24. What methods of profit extraction are commonly used in your jurisdiction? Are there any variations or specific issues that apply to the profit extraction techniques set out in the Guide?

Expenses paid to the originator for credit enhancement guarantees and similar methods and service fees can be used as profit extraction tools.

THE ROLE OF THE RATING AGENCIES

25. What is the sovereign rating of your jurisdiction? What factors impact on this and are there any specific factors in your jurisdiction that affect the rating of the securities issued by the SPV (for example, legal certainty or political issues)? How are such risks usually managed?

The recent sovereign ratings are:

- Moody's. Long-term, foreign currency: Ba1; local currency: Ba1.
- Fitch. Long-term, foreign currency: BB+; local currency: BBB.

The ratings of SPVs are mostly linked to the asset quality. The rating of the originator is also another factor that has an impact on the SPV's rating.

TAX ISSUES

- 26. What tax issues arise in securitisations in your jurisdiction? In particular:
 - What transfer taxes may apply to the transfer of the receivables? Please give the applicable tax rates and explain how transfer taxes are usually dealt with.
 - Is withholding tax payable in certain circumstances?
 Please give the applicable tax rates and explain how withholding taxes are usually dealt with.
 - Are there any other tax issues that apply to securitisations in your jurisdiction?
 - Does your jurisdiction's government have an intergovernmental agreement in place with the US in relation to FATCA compliance, and will this benefit locallydomicited SPVs?

For tax purposes, offshore securitisation structures are treated as lending arrangements and SPVs are defined as financing institutions. Therefore, the following tax treatment applies:

- 1% interest withholding tax applies to interest payments made to SPVs.
- VAT and stamp duty exemptions apply (that is, VAT and stamp duty never apply on a securitisation). According to a Ministry of Finance advance opinion published recently on its website, stamp duty should apply to offshore securitisation documentation. The advance opinion letters are not binding on taxpayers but they are on the tax authorities. This means that taxpayers are not obliged to comply and settle or litigate the matter in the case of a dispute, whereas the tax authorities would issue the same opinion for similar transactions unless there is a change in the legislation.
- The application of the resource utilisations support fund Levy depends on the denomination currency and on the average maturity. The rate is 3%. The base amount is interest on Turkish lira loans and principal amount for foreign currency loans.

Turkish intermediary banks act as tax agents and the levy is usually a borrower's liability.

Onshore securitisations are generally made through funds which are technically considered taxable fiscal units (that is, taxable entities) in Turkey. However, this income item of the concerned funds is exempt from corporate income taxation in Turkey.

Onshore securitisations are generally subject to tax (that is, corporate tax on any gains, VAT and stamp duty). However, exemptions apply for some types of securitisations such as <code>sukuk</code> issuances under sale and leaseback models and mortgage-backed securities.

An agreement between Turkey and the US to improve international tax compliance through enhanced exchange of information was signed on 29 July 2015.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AFFECTING SECURITISATIONS

27. Please give brief details of any legal developments in your jurisdiction (arising from case law, statute or otherwise) that have had, or are likely to have, a significant impact on securitisation practices, structures or participants.

The authors are not aware of any recent development affecting securitisations in Turkey.

OTHER SECURITISATION STRUCTURES

28. What other structures, including synthetic securitisations, are sometimes used in your jurisdiction?

Generally, single issue structures are most commonly used. Additionally, there is a Turkish legal framework for securitisation and associated forms of structured finance, including mortgage-covered bonds and asset-covered bonds. The Turkish securities regulator, the Capital Markets Board (CMB) issued on 21 January 2014 the Communiqué on Covered Bonds (Series III-59.1) (as amended on 5 September 2014 and 21 October 2015) which replaced the two communiqués on mortgage-covered bonds and

asset-covered bonds, creating a single framework for both debt securities.

Turkish banks with large mortgage lending portfolios are considering issuing covered bonds, particularly after VakifBank established a EUR3 billion denominated covered bond programme in July 2015, and YapiKredi applied to the CMB in late October 2015 for EUR1 billion worth of covered bonds. Most Turkish banks are in good shape to come to the market, despite high establishment costs and limited rating increase.

Covered bonds can be issued by housing finance institutions (konut finansmani kuruluşlari) or mortgage finance institutions (ipotek finanmani kuruluşlari). Housing finance institutions are banks authorised to extend loans (or provide financial leasing) to consumers. Financial leasing companies and finance corporations are authorised by the Turkish banking authority to perform housing finance activities.

Mortgage finance institutions are defined as joint stock companies established within the scope of housing finance and asset finance for the purpose of acquiring and transferring CMB-qualified assets, managing such assets or taking such assets as collateral and conducting other activities approved by the CMB.

REFORM

29. Please summarise any reform proposals and state whether they are likely to come into force and, if so, when. For example, what structuring trends do you foresee and will they be driven mainly by regulatory changes, risk management, new credit rating methodology, economic necessity, tax or other factors?

There is no draft legislation in the area of securitisation, nor is there any expected change in securitisation practice in the near future.

30. Has the nature and extent of global, regional and domestic reforms had a positive or negative affect on revitalising securitisation in your jurisdiction?

There are no regional or domestic reforms that have affected the market.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Capital Markets Board of Turkey (CMB)

W www.cmb.gov.tr

Description. The official website of the CMB. English translations are for information purposes only.

Practical Law Contributor profiles

Ömer Çollak, Partner, Head of Capital Markets

Paksoy

T +902 12 366 4732 F +902 12 290 2355

E ocollak@paksoy.av.tr

W www.paksoy.av.tr

Professional qualifications. Istanbul Bar Association, 2005

Areas of practice. Capital markets; banking and finance; Islamic finance; mergers and acquisitions.

Non-professional qualifications. Marmara University, School of Law; Golden Gate University, School of Law, LL.M., San Francisco, CA

Experience

- Representing underwriters and issuers in initial public offerings, debt offerings, Islamic finance transactions and private placements.
- Acting in regulatory capital issuances under Basel III, and representing clients in structured finance transactions, including but not limited to securitisations.
- Significant experience in listed company mergers and acquisitions, in addition to various high-ticket cross-border mergers and acquisitions in varying sectors, including financial institutions and retail, acting for private equity firms and strategic investors.
- Worked as a foreign associate at a US firm in California, where he acted for bio-tech and high-tech multinational clients.

Professional associates/memberships. American Bar Association; International Bar Association.

Ökkeş Şahan, Counsel

Paksoy

T +902 12 366 4790

F +902 12 290 2355

E osahan@paksoy.av.tr

W www.paksoy.av.tr

Professional qualifications. Istanbul Bar Association, 1998; Ankara Bar Association(licences: capital market activities (advanced level), derivative instruments, real estate appraiser, credit rating specialist, corporate governance rating specialist and independent auditing in capital markets)

Areas of practice. Capital markets; banking and finance; public mergers and acquisitions.

Non-professional qualifications. Ankara University, School of Law; University of California, School of Law, LL.M., Davis, CA

Experience

- Acting for issuers and underwriters in equity, debt and equity-linked instruments in international public offerings, Eurobond offerings and private placements.
- Worked for almost 15 years as legal counsel at the Capital Markets Board of Turkey.